1 2 3 4 5 6	DAVID T. BIDERMAN, Bar No. 101577 JUDITH B. GITTERMAN, Bar No. 115661 M. CHRISTOPHER JHANG, Bar No. 211463 PERKINS COIE LLP Four Embarcadero Center, Suite 2400 San Francisco, CA 94111-4131 Telephone: (415) 344-7000 Facsimile: (415) 344-7050 Email: DBiderman@perkinscoie.com Email: JGitterman@perkinscoie.com Email: CJhang@perkinscoie.com	
7	Attorneys for Defendant Google Inc.	
8		
9	UNITED STATES I	DISTRICT COURT
10	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CAL	IFORNIA, SAN JOSE DIVISION
11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28	CLRB HANSON INDUSTRIES, LLC d/b/a INDUSTRIAL PRINTING, and HOWARD STERN, on behalf of themselves and all others similarly situated, Plaintiffs, v. GOOGLE, INC., Defendant.	PROPOSED ORDER REGARDING PLAINTIFFS' SECOND MOTION TO SEAL PURSUANT TO CIV. L.R. 79-5(d) PORTIONS OF AMENDED DOCUMENTS (DOCUMENT NOS. 137-139) Date: June 11, 2007 Time: 9:00 a.m. Dept.: Courtroom 8 Judge: Honorable James Ware
	[PROPOSED] ORDER CASE NO. 05-03649	[41063-0023-000000/13278101_1.DOC]
	41063-0023/LEGAL13278101.1	[+1003-0023-000000/15278101_1.DOC]

1	<u>ORDER</u>	
2	The Court finds, having considered Plaintiffs CLRB Hanson Industries, LLC, d/b/a	
3	Industrial Printing, and Howard Stern's Second Motion To Seal Pursuant to Civ. L.R. 79-5(d)	
4	Portions Of Amended Documents Due To Confidentiality Designations By Defendant Google	
5	Inc. ("Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents") (Document Nos. 137-139), the	
6	Declaration of M. Christopher Jhang In Response To Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended	
7	Documents, and the papers submitted therewith and arguments raised therein, and good cause	
8	appearing:	
9	1. That the redactions (i.e., sealing as confidential documents) requested in	
10	Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents, in connection with Plaintiffs' Amended	
11	Supplemental Memorandum In Support Of Plaintiffs' Motion For Partial Summary Judgment	
12	("Plaintiffs' Amended Supplemental Memorandum") and Amended Supplemental Declaration of	
13	Michele F. Raphael ("Amended Supplemental Raphael Declaration"), are consistent with	
14	Google's confidentiality designations; and	
15	2. That Google has demonstrated that Plaintiffs' Amended Supplemental	
16	Memorandum and the Amended Supplemental Raphael Declaration are subject to seal in	
17	accordance with its confidential designations because of overriding confidentiality interests that	
18	overcome the right of public access to the record in these documents.	
19	IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED:	
20	That Plaintiffs' Second Motion to Seal Amended Documents is GRANTED.	
21		
22	IT IS SO ORDERED.	
23		
24	DATED: March 25, 2008	
25	The Honorable James Ware United States District Judge	
26	O inted States District stage	
27		

-2-

28